



Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility

Community Informational Briefing

January 2020



Today's Discussion

- Water a precious resource with unique properties
- Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
 - Introduction and 2014 fuel leak
 - Past Navy studies and findings
 - Red Hill Administrative Order on Consent (AOC)
 - Tank condition/ destructive testing
 - Tank upgrade alternative (TUA) selection
 - Risk and vulnerability
 - Groundwater flow direction
 - Navy's UST permit application
 - DOH proposed UST rule revisions
- Summary

3

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future





- Nearly 70% of the earth's surface is covered with water.
- Only 2.5 percent of it is freshwater. The rest is saline and ocean-based.
- Of this percentage less than 1% is easily accessible, with much of it trapped in glaciers and snowfields.





Water's Unique Properties

- Only substance found on Earth in all three states -- liquid, solid (ice), and gas (steam).
- The solid form, ice, is less dense than the liquid form, which is why ice floats.
- Can absorb a lot of heat before it begins to get hot. Reason water is valuable as a coolant.
- Called the "universal solvent" because it dissolves more substances than any other liquid.



5

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Water Availability and Use on Oahu

- On average 1.8 billion gallons fall on Oahu as rain.
 - One-third loss to run-off.
 - One-third absorbed by plants or evaporates.
 - One-third recharges the aquifer. Takes 1 25 years to reach the aquifer.
- Oahu's aquifer sustainable yield is 393.5 mgd. Of this amount total withdrawn from aquifer is 190 mgd.





WATER FOR LIFE Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



- Oahu is 598 square miles
- About 461 square miles of Oahu (77% of the island) are inland of the caprock
- About 137 square miles (23% of the island) are covered by caprock



Water S

Ref. Izuka, Engott, Rotzoll, Bassiouni, Johnson, Miller and Mair, Volcanic aquifers of Hawai'i—Hydrogeology, water budgets, and conceptual models, Scientific Investigations Report 2015-5164, United States Geological Survey, 2015



06/20/2018

Map Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator



The Oahu Sole Source Aquifer was designated under the authority of Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, Federal Register Citiation-61 FR 47752, Publication Date - 09/10/96. Please contact US EPA Region 9 (Jamelva Curtis, 415-972-3529) for assistance in determining place locations with respect to the project review area.

Map Status and Disclaimer:

Please note that this working map is a computer representation compiled by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from sources which have supplied data or information that may not have been verified by the EPA. This data is offered here as a general representation only, and is not to be used for commercial purposes without verification by an independent professional qualified to verify such data or information. The EPA does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information shown, and shall not be liable for any loss or injury resulting from reliance upon the information shown.





Hawaii State Constitution

- Article XI, Section 1
 - For the benefit of present and future generations, the State and its political subdivisions shall conserve and protect Hawaii's natural beauty and all natural resources, including land, water, air, minerals and energy sources, and shall promote the development and utilization of these resources in a manner consistent with their conservation and in furtherance of the self-sufficiency of the State.
 - All public natural resources are <u>held in trust by the</u> <u>State for the benefit of the people</u>.



Hawaii State Constitution – cont.

- Article XI Section 7
 - The State has an obligation to protect, control and regulate the use of Hawaii's water resources for the benefit of its people. The legislature shall provide for a water resources agency which, as provided by law, shall set overall water conservation, quality and use policies; define beneficial and reasonable uses; protect ground and surface water resources, watersheds and natural stream environments; establish criteria for water use priorities while assuring appurtenant rights and existing correlative and riparian uses and establish procedures for regulating all uses of Hawaii's water resources.

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



City Charter

- The Revised Charter of the City and County of Honolulu Article II, § 2-102:
- "All city powers shall be used to serve and advance the general welfare, safety and aspirations of its inhabitants in a sustainable manner and promote stewardship of natural resources for present and future generations."



State Water Code

- §174C-2 Declaration of Policy
 - (d) The state water code shall be liberally interpreted to protect and improve the quality of waters of the State and to provide that no substance be discharged into such waters without first receiving the necessary treatment or other corrective action. The people of Hawaii have a substantial interest in the prevention, abatement, and control of both new and existing water pollution and in the <u>maintenance</u> of high standards of water quality.



Today's Discussion

- ✓ Water a precious resource with unique properties
- Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
 - Introduction and 2014 fuel leak
 - Past Navy studies and findings
 - Red Hill Administrative Order on Consent (AOC)
 - Tank condition/ destructive testing
 - Tank upgrade alternative (TUA) selection
 - Risk and vulnerability
 - Groundwater flow direction
 - Navy's UST permit application
 - DOH proposed UST rule revisions
- Summary





Hawaii UST Statutes and Regulations

- Hawaii Revised Statutes §342L Underground Storage Tanks
 - HRS §342L-32 (b) (1) The tank and tank system shall be designed, constructed, installed, upgraded, maintained, repaired, and <u>operated to prevent</u>
 <u>releases</u> of the stored regulated substances <u>for the</u> <u>operational life of the tank or tank system</u>.
- DOH Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR)
 Chapter 11-280.1, Underground Storage Tanks rules



Ref. Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Final Technical Report, 2007, Fig. 1-3, p. 1-15

- Twenty tanks sitting on end connected by an upper and lower access tunnel.
- Constructed from 1940 to 1943.
- Each tank is 250 feet high and 100 feet in diameter.
- 12.5 million gallon capacity per tank.
- Facility declassified in 1995.
- Navy's Red Hill Shaft approx. 2,500 feet down gradient from the facility.
- Tanks located 100 feet above the groundwater table.

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future







Ref: Underground Storage Tank System Evaluation Final Report, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Submitted by: Atlas Geotechnical, Eastern Research Group, Inc., PEMY Consulting and Powers Engineering and Inspection, Inc., June 2017, Figure 1-2.







BWS Halawa Shaft

The BWS Halawa Shaft pumps water from the top of the groundwater table just like the Navy's Red Hill Shaft. A contaminant plume on the water table surface or dissolved in the water can quickly impact these types of sources.



Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Red Hill Tanks

- Concrete with ¼ inch steel liner.
 (Lower dome base is ½ inch)
- Red Hill Tank large enough to hold Aloha Tower.
- Fuel storage
 - Currently JP-5, JP-8 and F-76 (marine diesel).
- 15 active tanks together store 187 million gallons of fuel.
- Rainwater seeping between ¼ inch steel liner and concrete and corroding steel liner.



Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Board of

City a

Water Supply

County of Hono

Inside Red Hill Tank



Typical Patch Plate Repairs on Tank 6, Dunkin & Bush, Inc. Report on Tank 6 As Built Repairs, Contract Number N62742-03-C-1402. June 2007 (Navy, 2016).





Catwalk and Small Access Platform from Tank Manhole. Note boom on right hand side. Photo was taken from basket on boom.



Ref: Red Hill: EPA May Force New Leak Detection System For Toxic Spills, Civil Beat, S. Cocke, 2/14/14





+ → 05/05

Photo Ref: Red Hill: EPA May Force New Leak Detection System For Toxic Spills, Civil Beat, S. Cocke, 2/14/14

∷ ← → 03/05

25





Navy Study and Fuel Record Findings

- Navy commissioned studies
 - Petroleum hydrocarbons present in groundwater and rock beneath facility.
 - Warn of increasing facility age and potential catastrophic large volume release.
 - Documented fuel releases (1947 1999)

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future

Fuel Contamination Under Red Hill Tanks

- 1998-2002
 Investigations.
- Basalt rock core samples taken from underneath
 19 out of 20 tanks show petroleum stains.

Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Initial Phase II Site Characterization Report Section: 4
Date: March 1999 Page: 11 of 12

Board

Water Supply





Figure 4-8 Petroleum Stained Core - B16C, 60' to 69'

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Groundwater Contamination under Research III Ten Road RHMW02 as of July 2019 (2019 Q3)





WATER FOR LIFE

Groundwater Aquifer Contamination underneath Red Hill Tanks as of July 2019 (2019 Q3)

Board d

Water Se



Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



2010 Navy Audit

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Naval Audit Service





Department of the Navy Red Hill and Upper Tank Farm Fuel Storage Facilities

This report contains information exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act. Exemptions (b)(2) high and (b)(6) apply.

Do not roloace outside of the Dopartment of the Navy, or post on non-Naval Audit Websites or in Navy Taskers, without approval from the Auditor General of the Navy

> N2010-0049 16 August 2010

Findings

- Groundwater contamination resulting from irregular maintenance and insufficient inspection.
- Delays in completion of the maintenance cycle due to operational and time constraints.
- Inability of current leak detection methods in detecting slow, chronic fuel leaks.
- Non-compliance with terms of the State DOH approved Navy Groundwater Protection Plan (GPP).

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



Today's Discussion

- ✓ Water a precious resource with unique properties
- ✓ Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
 - ✓ Introduction and 2014 fuel leak
 - Past Navy studies and findings
 - Red Hill Administrative Order on Consent (AOC)
 - Tank condition/ destructive testing
 - Tank upgrade alternative (TUA) selection
 - Risk and vulnerability
 - Groundwater flow direction
 - Navy's UST permit application
 - DOH proposed UST rule revisions
- Summary

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Red Hill Administrative Order on Consent (AOC)

- Sec 1 Overall project management, SME, community involvement, meetings, written responses.
- Sec 2 Tank inspection, repair & maintenance
- Sec 3 Tank upgrade alternatives
- Sec 4 Release (leak) detection and tightness
- Sec 5 Corrosion and metal fatigue
- Sec 6 Investigation & Remediation
- Sec 7 Groundwater Protection and Evaluation
- Sec 8 Risk / vulnerability assessment





Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



(Coupon #7) Barrel – back side



NDE Predictions:

 Minimum remaining thickness: 0.135" to 0.187"

June 25th Observations:

 Apparent remaining thickness: 2mm = 0.079"





Destructive Testing Results

- Steel liner samples collected from Tank 14 prove rusting (that leads to through-wall holes) is taking place on the side of the liner that cannot be inspected or maintained
 - Coating the interior surface of a tank does not stop corrosion from occurring on the back side of the liner
- Navy destructive testing report confirms:
 - Navy's nondestructive evaluation (NDE) method cannot accurately and reliably identify areas of the liner in need of repair before the next inspection
 - Navy's NDE both significantly overestimated (Samples 3 & 6) and underestimated (Samples 1 & 5) liner thickness
 - Navy's NDE only found 50% of the coupons in need of repair



Adapted from: Navy, Red Hill AOC SOW Section 3.0 Tank Upgrade Alternatives (TUA), Red Hill Fuel Storage Facility. NAVSUP FLC Pearl Harbor (PRL). Hawali, Final Report, December 2017;



"Alternative 3A can be constructed in the field at Red Hill using practicable construction means and methods." Ref. Navy Red Hill Tank Alternatives (TUA) Report, December 2017.

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Alternate Location

Tank Upgrade Alternatives

Source: Star Advertiser, March 19, 2018



"Alternative 3A can be constructed in the field at Red Hill using practicable construction means and methods."

Ref. Navy Red Hill Tank Alternatives (TUA) Report, December 2017.

Alternate Tank Location

- Navy studied 12 potential locations along southern Oahu.
- Navy determined best site was Kapūkaki located mauka of existing Red Hill facility.
- Navy's cut and cover tank artist rendering and tank design.



Figure 7.1-1 Kapūkaki Tank Layout

Ref: Red Hill Alternative Locations Study, Revision 3, Austin Brockenbrough Engineering and Consulting, February 5, 2018.



Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Alternate Tank Location – cont.



Figure 33-2 Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam Map

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Alternate Tank Location – cont.

- Red Hill Facility site occupies approx. 144 acres of land.
- Oahu is 598 square miles
- About 137 square miles (23%) of Oahu is covered by caprock.
- 137 square miles is equal to 87,680 acres.

Ref. 1 square mile = 640 acres







Requirements for a TUA Selection

- The Red Hill AOC requires the Navy to identify, evaluate TUA options and select a TUA "to prevent releases into the <u>environment</u>" (AOC SOW § 3).
- In a August 2019 letter, EPA and DOH made clear the TUA decision selected must "compare the relative environmental performance of each TUA alternative" and "demonstrate to the Regulatory Agencies' satisfaction that groundwater and drinking water resources will be protected".
- Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) § 342L-32(b)(1) also expressly provides that underground (fuel) storage tank (UST) systems "shall be ... upgraded ... and <u>operated to prevent releases</u> ... for the <u>operational life</u> of the tank or tank system."



Navy's TUA Selection

- Retain the existing single-walled tanks and current practices (TUA Option 1A)
- "Implement "double-wall equivalency" or removal of fuel in the 2045 timeframe"
- "Determine feasibility for the potential construction of a water treatment plant or equivalent engineering controls"
- Implement other improvements including among others installing permanent leak detection equipment, conduct soil vapor monitoring, apply epoxy coating to the tank lower domes, install eight additional monitoring wells and conduct a pilot project to consider fully coating tank barrels



What is "Double-Wall Equivalency"?

- According to the Navy:
 - "Double wall equivalency" is its current work with enhanced leak detection, tank tightness testing, groundwater monitoring, soil vapor monitoring, and measuring the height of the fuel in each tank as layers of protection working together to *"provide redundant elements of detection and capture, equivalent to typical provisions of a 'double wall'* solution."
 - The Navy would also use a water treatment plant to create a "capture zone" around the Red Hill tank facility to prevent the spread of contamination to drinking water sources.



Concern with "Double Wall Equivalency"

- The objective is to <u>prevent</u> releases from the tanks to the environment by keeping the fuel in the tanks as required by Hawaii law and the AOC
- Leak detection, tank tightness testing, and soil vapor monitoring merely detect and/or measure what is already released to the environment
- Navy's "double wall equivalency" relies upon a water treatment plant that does not exist and that the Navy has not committed to constructing nor proven that it works
- The Navy's reliance on a potential water treatment plant assumes the plant can treat for any amount of fuel released



Today's Discussion

- ✓ Water a precious resource with unique properties
- ✓ Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
 - ✓ Introduction and 2014 fuel leak
 - Past Navy studies and findings
 - ✓ Red Hill Administrative Order on Consent (AOC)
 - ✓ Tank condition/ destructive testing
 - ✓ Tank upgrade alternative (TUA) selection
 - Risk and vulnerability
 - Groundwater flow direction
 - Navy's UST permit application
 - DOH proposed UST rule revisions
- Summary



Past Fuel Releases

- 2014 Release is **NOT** the Only Release
 - Tank 6 <u>in 2002</u> (Navy, 2002)
 - Tanks 15 and 16 after 1988 (Navy, 2014)
 - The groundwater data <u>from 2005</u> to present show petroleum chemical contaminants in groundwater samples
 - 1988 Inspections on Tank 5, Tank 10, Tank 17, Tank 19, Tank 20 identified through-wall corrosion and therefore possibly leaks below the detection limit (Navy, 2016)
 - Petroleum staining found in cores taken <u>before 2014</u> beneath 19 of 20 tanks (AMEC, 2002)
 - Navy's Red Hill Facility Groundwater Protection Plan (GWPP) report documents leaks from various tanks from 1940s – 1980s (Navy, 2008)



Risk Assessment Report

- Navy risk assessment prepared by ABS Consulting calculated:
 - Greater than 27% probability of a sudden release of between 1,000 and 30,000 gallons of fuel each year
 - Greater than 34% chance of a sudden release of more than 120,000 gallons of fuel in the next 100 years
 - Greater than 5% probability of a sudden release of more than 1 million gallons of fuel in the next 100 years
 - For chronic, undetected releases, the expected fuel release is 5,803 gallons per year (facility-wide)
 [For example: 25 years x 5,803 gallons/year = 145,075 gallons released]

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Navy Study Show Groundwater Flow from Red Hill toward BWS Halawa Shaft



Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Groundwater Flow At Red Hill

- DOH report says the Navy's groundwater model unable to reproduce measurements recorded in the field.
- Field data shows groundwater can flow from the facility to the northwest toward the BWS Halawa Shaft.
- Claims of subsurface geologic features that isolate Halawa Shaft from the tanks is unsupported.



Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Single wall v. Secondary containment





Navy Permit Application

2018	July 15 (effective)	DOH revises Hawaii UST rules requiring Navy to obtain a permit to operate Red Hill tanks by July 15, 2019.
2019	May 23	DOH accepts Navy permit application.
	May 29	DOH requests public comments until June 29.
	June 6	Sierra Club submits request for contested case.
	July 16*	DOH issues letter allowing Navy to operate Red Hill until its decision on the permit application is rendered.
	August 14	BWS requests DOH send written notice of decision regarding Sierra Club's contested case hearing request.
	Oct. 15*	BWS first learns of July 16 DOH letter at Navy's public informational meeting.
	Oct. 17	Navy discusses DOH July 16 letter at 4th annual Fuel Tank Advisory Committee meeting.



Navy Permit Application – cont.

2019	Oct. 18	BWS sends DOH notice of intervenor status concerning the Sierra Club's contested case hearing request.
	Oct. 23	AG sends letter to BWS and Sierra Club that Red Hill to remain operational until completion of contested case.
	Oct. 24	Sierra Club sends letter to DOH demanding contested case commence immediately.
	Oct. 29	BWS sends DOH request for contested case hearing.
	Nov. 5	DOH provides notice initiating process for proceeding with contested case.
	Dec. 3	Pre-conference meeting held. Additional meetings to set schedule and establish parties pending.



DOH Proposed UST Rule Revisions

- DOH proposed rule changes
 - Field constructed tanks installed before July 15, 2018 must be provided with secondary containment not later than July 15, 2045
 - Field-constructed tanks not in compliance shall be immediately emptied of all liquids and accumulated sludges and permanently closed
 - Remove the 180 day automatic approval of a complete permit application
- DOH held public hearings on December 2 and 30, 2019



DOH Proposed UST Rule Revisions – cont.

- BWS comments/concerns
 - The 2045 deadline is too long for installing secondary containment.
 - The deadline imposed by the current UST rules for secondary containment upgrades is July 15, 2038. The proposed 2045 date extends the deadline by seven years without any explanation or technical justification.
 - BWS urged DOH to change the deadline to 2028.



DOH Proposed UST Rule Revisions – cont.

- Definition of secondary containment should include interstitial space of sufficient size to facilitate the inspection, maintenance, testing, and physical repair of the tank walls.
- BWS supports removing the 180 day automatic approval of a complete permit application if DOH is unable to act within that time.
- All permit applications should be reviewed and include the opportunity for public review and comment to address any community concerns and interest on permits that allow the operations of very large USTs.

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future





- Red Hill single wall tanks are not protective of aquifer and environment.
- Facility is over 75 years old and continues to age.
- Fuel contamination already present in groundwater and rocks underneath facility.
- Large volume of fuel stored 100 ft. above aquifer poses risk to sole drinking water resource.
- Facility must satisfy HRS §342L-32 (b)(1)
- Relocate the tanks away from the aquifer if tank-withina-tank secondary containment is not feasible.

Safe, dependable, and affordable water now and into the future



Questions/Discussion